FILED

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

2007 AUG -9 PM 3: 20

U.S. EFA. REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

In the Matter of:

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

M. Argueso & Co., Inc. 4650 SW Macadam Ave. Suite 312 Portland, Oregon 97239 Docket No. EPCRA-09-2007-0 0 1 4
CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL

ORDER PURSUANT TO 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 AND 22.18

Respondent

## I. CONSENT AGREEMENT

The Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division ("Complainant"), United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region 9, and M. Argueso & Co., Inc. ("Respondent" or "Argueso") agree to settle this matter and consent to the filing of this Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 ("CAFO"), which simultaneously commences and concludes this matter in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b). This is a civil administrative proceeding initiated pursuant to Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments

This is a civil administrative proceeding initiated pursuant to Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 11001 et seq., also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 ("EPCRA"), for violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the regulations promulgated to implement Section 313 at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

Complainant has been duly delegated the authority to file this action and sign a consent agreement settling this action. Respondent is a corporation incorporated in Delaware.

- . Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- Section 313(a) of EPCRA, as implemented by 40 C.F.R.
   \$ 372.30, provides that an owner or operator of a facility that meets the criteria set forth in EPCRA Section 313(b) and 40 C.F.R. \$ 372.22, is required to submit annually to the Administrator of EPA and to the State in which the facility is located, no later than July 1st of each year, a toxic chemical release inventory reporting form (hereinafter "Form R") for each toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. \$ 372.65 that was manufactured, processed or otherwise used at the facility during the preceding calendar year in quantities exceeding the thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §\$ 372.25, 375.27, and 372.28.
   Section 313(b) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22 provide that
  - the requirements of Section 313(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30 apply to an owner and operator of a facility that has 10 or more full-time employees; that is in a Standard Industrial Classification major group codes 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094), 12 (except 1241), 20 through 39; industry codes 4911, 4931, or 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce), or 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, subtitle C, 42 U.S.C.

§6921 et seq.), or 5169, 5171, or 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis); and that manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses one or more toxic chemicals listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 in quantities in excess of the applicable thresholds established under EPCRA Section 7 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25, 372.27, and 372.28. 8 Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c) and 40 C.F.R. 9 Part 19 authorize EPA to assess a penalty of up to \$27,500 10 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on 11 or after January 31, 1997 but before March 15, 2004 and up to 12 \$32,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that 13 occurred on or after March 15, 2004. 14 Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined by Section 15 329(7) of EPCRA. 16 At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent was the owner 17 and operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by 18 Section 329(4) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, located at 19 2628 River Avenue, Rosemead, CA ("Facility"); the Facility 20 had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined 21 at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3; and the Facility was classified in 22 Standard Industrial Classification Code 2899 - chemicals and 23 chemical preparations, not elsewhere classified. 24 10. During calendar years 2004 and 2005, Respondent otherwise 25 used approximately the following amounts (in pounds) of 4,4'-26 Isopropylidenediphenol, a chemical listed under 40 C.F.R.

-3-

27

\$ 372.65: 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol 13,272 56,380 3 2005 11. The quantities of 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol that the 5 Respondent otherwise used at the Facility during calendar years 2004 and 2005 exceeded the established threshold of 6 7 10,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(b). 12. Respondent failed to submit Form Rs for 4,4'-9 Isopropylidenediphenol otherwise used at the Facility to the 10 EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before 11 July 1, 2005 for calendar year 2004, and on or before July 1, 2006 for calendar year 2005, as required by Section 313(a) of 12 13 EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30. 13. Respondent's failure to submit Form Rs on or before July 1 of 14 2005 and 2006 for 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol otherwise used 15 16 at the Facility during the preceding calendar year, 17 constitutes two violations of Section 313 of EPCRA and 40 18 C.F.R. § 372.30. 14. The EPA Enforcement Response Policy for EPCRA Section 313 19 20 dated August 10, 1992 provides for a penalty of eight 21 thousand seven hundred dollars (\$8,700) for these violations. 22 15. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that (1) it has 23 now fully completed and submitted to EPA all of the required 24 Form Rs in compliance with Section 313 of EPCRA and the 25 regulations promulgated to implement Section 313; and (2) it has complied with all other EPCRA requirements at all 26 27

-4-

facilities under its control.

- 16. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding, Respondent (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) admits the violations and facts alleged in this CAFO; (iii) consents to the terms of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed final order contained in this CAFO.
- 10 17. The terms of this CAFO constitute a full settlement of the civil administrative matter filed under the docket number above.
  - 18. EPA's final policy statement on Incentives for Self-Policing:

    Discovery, Disclosure, Correction and Prevention of

    Violations, 65 Fed. Reg. 19617 (April 11, 2000) ("Audit

    Policy") has several important goals, including encouraging

    greater compliance with the laws and regulations which

    protect human health and the environment and reducing

    transaction costs associated with violations of the laws EPA

    is charged with administering. If certain specified criteria

    are met, reductions in gravity-based penalties of up to 100%

    are available under the Audit Policy. These criteria are (1)

    discovery of the violation(s) through an environmental audit

    or due diligence; (2) voluntary disclosure; (3) prompt

    disclosure; (4) discovery and disclosure independent of

    government or third party plaintiff; (5) correction and

remediation; (6) prevent recurrence; (7) no repeat violations; (8) other violations excluded; and (9) cooperation.

- 19. Complainant has determined that Respondent has satisfied all of the criteria under the Audit Policy and thus qualifies for the elimination of civil penalties in this matter. Accordingly, the civil penalty assessed in this matter is zero (\$0) dollars.
- 9 20. Complainant's finding that Argueso has satisfied the criteria of the Audit Policy is based upon documentation that Argueso has provided to establish that it satisfies these criteria.

  12 Complainant and Respondent agree that, should any material fact upon which Complainant relied in making its finding subsequently prove to be other than as represented by Argueso, this CAFO may be voided in whole or in part.
  - 21. Nothing in this CAFO modifies, affects, exempts or relieves
    Respondent's duty to comply with all applicable provisions of
    EPCRA and other federal, state or local laws and permits. In
    accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves
    Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the
    violations and facts specifically alleged in this CAFO.
    Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to
    resolve (i) any civil liability for violations of any
    provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute,
    regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically
    alleged in this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA

specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and 2 remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, 3 injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not 4 5 specifically alleged in this CAFO. 22. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b). this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the final order 8 contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by 9 either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed. 10 11 23. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding upon Respondent, 12 its agents, successors or assigns. Respondent's obligations 13 under this Consent Agreement, if any, shall end when 14 Respondent has performed all of the terms of the Consent 15 Agreement in accordance with the Final Order. Complainant 16 and Respondent consent to the entry of the CAFO without 17 further notice. 18 FOR COMPLAINANT: 19 20 Acting Director 21 Communities and Ecosystems Division EPA Region 9 22 FOR RESPONDENT: 23 24 James Mallory, General M. Argueso & Co., Inc. 25 26

27

## II. FINAL ORDER

Complainant EPA Region 9 and Respondent M. Argueso & Co., 3 Inc., having entered into the foregoing Consent Agreement, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Consent Agreement and Final 5 Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 (Docket No. EPCRA-

09-2007-00 14 ) be entered.

08/09/07

Steven L. Jawgiel Regional Judicial Officer U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, Region 9

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of the foregoing Consent Agreement 3 and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18, Docket 4 No. EPCRA-09-2007- 0 0 1 4 , was hand delivered to the Regional 5 Hearing Clerk, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 6 Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, 7 and that a true and correct copy thereof was placed in the United 8 States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed 9 to the following address:

> James Mallory, General Manager M. Argueso & Co., Inc. 2628 River Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-3395

13 Certified Return Receipt No.: 7003 3110 0006 1997 8212

15 Date: 8 /10/2007

Regional Hearing Clerk United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne Avenue San Francisco, California 94105-3143

19 20

1

10

11

12

14

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27